Flight Readiness Review



University of Louisville River City Rocketry 2016-2017

1

FRR Presentation Agenda

Launch Vehicle

- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

Overall Vehicle Design

- 6.1 inch diameter, 141 inch long, carbon fiber airframe
- Custom manufactured carbon fiber nose cone
- Removable Fin System
- Variable Drag System

Section Payload Recovery Bay Deployment Bay Booster < P m I Z D I D Z -I Recovery VDS Bay Propulsion Bay

Nose Cone

Airframe

- 6K carbon fiber filament wound airframe
- X-Winder Desktop Filament Winder
- Vacuum bagging and heat-shrink tape methods





Launch Vehicle Component Tests



Airframe Tensile Strength Test



Bulkplate Tensile Strength Test

Nose Cone

- Carbon Fiber LD Haack nose cone
- Positive and negative mold used to create nose cone





Nose Cone

- Carbon fiber nose cone cone vacuum formed
- 3D printed nose cone tip
- Painted and epoxied coupler tube







Avionics

- Six PerfectFlite Stratologger CF altimeters
- Three Eggfinder GPS tracking system

Motor Selection

| Motor | AeroTech L2200-G |
|--------------------------|------------------|
| Diameter | 75.0 mm |
| Total Weight | 167.59 oz |
| Propellant Weight | 88.75 oz |
| Average Thrust | 2200.0 N |
| Maximum Thrust | 3101.8 N |
| Total Impulse | 5104.1 N-sec |
| Burn Time | 2.3 Sec |



Stability Margin



- Overall Length: 141 in
- Overall Diameter: 6.1 in
- Overall Weight: 50.7 lbs

- Stability Margin (off the rail) : 2.2
- CG Location at rail exit (from tip): 102.11 in
- CP Location at rail exit (from tip): 115.30 in

Full-Scale Launch Vehicle Flight Characteristics

| Property | Value |
|---|--------------------|
| Predicted Apogee Altitude (ft) | 5,561 (no VDS) |
| Thrust-to-Weight Ratio | 14.65 |
| Burnout Velocity (ft/s) | 721 (o.61 Mach) |
| Maximum Acceleration (ft/s ²) | 469 |
| Exit Rail Velocity (ft/s) | 96.8 |

Mission Performance Predictions

| Wind Speed (mph) | OpenRocket Simulated (No- brakes) Apogee Altitude (ft) | VDS Simulation (No-brakes) Apogee Altitude (ft.) | VDS Simulation (VDS Active) Apogee Altitude (ft.) |
|------------------------|---|---|--|
| 0 | 5,594 | 5,419 | 5,287 |
| 5 | 5,556 | 5,416 | 5,288 |
| 10 | 5,498 | 5,410 | 5,288 |
| 15 | 5,460 | 5,394 | 5,287 |
| 20 | 5,383 | 5,338 | 5,287 |

Mass Margin



- Launch vehicle overall weight for first two test flights was 45.5 lbs, compared to 43.95 lbs predicted in CDR
- A thicker paint job will be added to increase overall mass of launch vehicle to 50.7 lbs

Launch Vehicle Verification Status

- 34/40 team-derived requirements verified
- Remaining verifications include:
 - Full Scale Integration Launch Vehicle Tests



FRR Presentation Agenda

- Launch Vehicle
- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

VDS Agenda

- Manufacturing Complete
- Braking Power Test
- VDS Demonstrations
- VDS Verification Status



Manufacturing Complete



VDS Electronics PCBs



Braking Power Test: Overview

Purpose: To demonstrate the system's ability to reduce the apogee of the vehicle.

Procedure Fly two full scale launches:

Overview: 1) Control Launch: To characterize the behavior of the vehicle without the VDS active.

2) Full-deploy Launch: To fully extend the drag blades at motor burnout to characterize the vehicle drag with the brakes.

Results: Pass. The VDS was able to reduce apogee of vehicle by 525 ft.



View from propulsion bay window above VDS on 2/26/17

Braking Power Test: Results



- First two launches served to demonstrate VDS braking power
- VDS reduced vehicle apogee by 525 ft.
 - Despite lower skin friction from paint job
 - Despite higher winds during control launch

VDS Verification Status

- 29/30 team-derived requirements verified
- Coefficient of drag requirement remains
- Will be verified before addendum on March 27th



VDS Demonstrations

- Duration demonstration: Capable of operating for > three hours
- Motor-Sensor Interaction demonstration: DC motor actuation does not affect sensor readings
- GPS-sensor interaction demonstration: GPS transmitter in adjacent bay does not affect sensor readings
- Actuation demonstration: VDS has robust control over its drag blade position

Outlook

- Perform 2nd control launch with:
 - Ballast
 - Working accelerometer
- Perform three performance launches before competition

VDS components



FRR Presentation Agenda

- Launch Vehicle
- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

Recovery Overview

- Dual deploy from single bay using ARRD
- Crucifrom drogues for both sections
- Toroidal main parachute for both sections as well as multirotor deployment parachute





Tender Descender Redundancy



 Tender descender creates additional points of failure in system

 Device tends to snag and creates issues with e-matches and terminal blocks
RCR | FRR | 03/15/2017

ARRD Redundancy Plan



- Using ARRD with dual ematches
- ARRD has had 100% success in all test campaigns

Final Recovery Bay Configurations

Booster Configuration





Bag locking loops prevent premature deployment during extraction



ARRD Deployment



Recovery Specifications

| Section | Average Descent Velocity (ft/s) | Kinetic Energy (ft-lb) |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Booster | 10.2 | 30 |
| Deployment Bay | 14.03 | 22 |
| Multirotor Payload | 23.02 | 78 |
| Nose Cone | Data acquisition failure | Data acquisition failure |







Drift Predictions – Simulation Verification



- Accuracy of OpenRocket weathercocking model verified
 - Max variance in attitude of 2.5° between flight data and simulation data

Simulations



Assumes vertical launch angle

- New model accounts for weathercocking
- Nose cone drift calculated by hand due to OpenRocket staging limitations

Drift Predictions

| Wind Speed | Drift Distance (ft) | | | |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| (mph) | Booster | Deployment Bay | Payload | Nose Cone |
| 0 | ~7 | ~7 | ~7 | ~7 |
| 5 | 270 | 504 | 334 | 173 |
| 10 | 652 | 1,119 | 790 | 467 |
| 15 | 1,010 | 1,678 | 1,185 | 758 |
| 20 | 1,515 | 2,374 | 1,736 | 1,162 |

Calculated using vertical launch rail angle





RCR | FRR

FRR Presentation Agenda

- Launch Vehicle
- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

Full Scale Flight Test

• Two full scale test flights were conducted.

Date: February 18th Location: Elizabethtown, KY Apogee Attitude: 6,071 feet



Date: February 26th Location: Bowling Green, KY Apogee Altitude: 5,514 feet



Full Scale Test Flight Results

| Property | February 18 th Launch Data | February 26 th Launch Data | Average |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Deployment Bay Drogue Velocity (ft/s) | 96.4 | 93.0 | 94.7 |
| Deployment Bay Main Velocity (ft/s) | 16.7 | 11.36 | 14.0 |
| Booster Drogue Velocity (ft/s) | 81.7 | 87.3 | 84.5 |
| Booster Main Velocity (ft/s) | 10.16 | Main deployment failure | 10.16 |
| Nosecone Descent Velocity (ft/s) | Data logging device failed | Data logging device failed | N/A |
| Payload Deployment Parachute Velocity (ft/s) | Data logging device failed | 23.3 | 23.3 |


February 18th Flight

Successful flight apart from 2 anomalies

| | | Time (E+ Apogee) | Event | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------|---|--|
| | | o seconds | Apogee separation charge is ignited | |
| | | 7 seconds | Drogue parachute obscures recovery bay opening of separated booster | |
| E+ 0 Seconds | E+ 7 Seconds | 8 seconds | Aerodynamic turbulence shifts | |
| | | | recovery gear. Ground is visible – booster is oriented fins up and is ballistic | |
| | | 13 seconds | Drogue is extracted by high velocity aerodynamic turbulence | |
| E+ 8 Seconds | E+ 13 Seconds | | | |

Ballistic Anomaly



Ballistic Anomaly Damage Assessment



- No damage to recovery system.
- Slight zippering damage (.43 in fracture) present on launch vehicle air frame. Does not affect integrity of vehicle.

Ballistic State Mitigation



 Black powder tests confirm that drogue parachute exits airframe when packed loosely

Anomaly #2: Premature Payload Separation





- High opening force from deployment bay main forced premature shearing and ejection of payload
- Was deemed to be an enormous benefit is now implemented as primary deployment method with triplicate redundancy from original two BP charges

February 26th Flight



Successful deployment bay recovery

Booster main failure



Booster Main Failure Diagnosis



 Suspected to be result of combination of crippled drogue parachute and deployment interference from payload leg sheathes

Deployment Failure Mitigation





- Sheathes no longer mate with bulkplate
 - Have been redesigned with terminating fillets to eliminate any potential snag points for recovery harnessing

Recovery Verification Status

- 9/10 team-derived requirements verified
- Collision avoidance requirement remains
- Will be verified before addendum on March 27th



Outlook

- Perform additional full scale launches with:
 - Precise nose cone descent data
 - Precise payload opening force data
- Perform two fully integrated launches before competition

Full Scale Flight Test Results



Pressure Anomaly

- Pressure anomaly in VDS coupler
- Current prediction of cause of pressure drop is from motor burn
- Wooden plate epoxied into propulsion bay to seal VDS coupler from motor



Motor Thrust Curve



Coefficient of Drag Estimation

- Estimated coefficient of drag using Matlab
- Fit February 26th accelerometer flight data to the following equation:

$$a_a = -g - \frac{C_d \rho A_r v_a^2}{2m}$$





Inertial Roll Coupling

- Roll and attitude natural frequencies similar
- Caused by flexing between coupler joints and misalignment of fins



Exit Rail Velocity Calculation



FRR Presentation Agenda

- Launch Vehicle
- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

Payload Design Overview



| Mass (lb) | Motor to Motor (in) | Overall Deployed Width (in) | Height (in) | |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | Stowed | Deployed |
| 9.5 | 29.0 | 42.0 | 40.8 | 36.0 |
| R | CR FRR 03/15/2017 | | | 55 |

Multirotor Recovery System (MRS)

- Primary recovery system
- Fully Autonomous
 Propulsion system





Propulsion System



MRS Electronics

- GPS coordinates and velocity set-points handle autonomous flight of Payload
- General Purpose Input/Output (GPIO) on flight computer handles low-level communication with RRS and monitoring of arm deployment using limit switches







Flight Testing

- Manual Flight Testing
 - Controlled by trained operator
- Autonomous Flight Testing
 - Controlled by onboard flight computer
- Fully Integrated Flight Testing
 - Integration of MRS, RRS, TDS
 - In progress



Flight Testing Results

- Issues with electromagnetic noise from motors when GPS/Compass module was mounted internally on the Payload
- Solved by moving GPS/Compass module to an external location



Compass noise vs. thrust (left), Oscillation around a central point (right)



Noise eliminated after GPS/Compass module moved

Redundant Recovery System (RRS)

- Cut away from deployment parachute
- Monitor flight conditions
- Deploy recovery parachute if max KE is exceeded or from manual deployment



RRS Testing

- Drop Test from 65 ft. AGL
- Deploy recovery parachute upon exceeding kinetic energy threshold
- Test result: <u>Success</u>







RRS Prototype and Changes

- RRS prototype used to verify circuit and logic functionality
- Flown on two full scale vehicle test flights and recorded accurate data
- Changed to grounding throttle control line for MRS motors
- Upgraded receiver and transmitter for increased range
- Upgraded to BMP280 commercial barometer







Target Detection System (TDS)

- Preliminary testing has been successful
- Payload test flights have been utilized to obtain pictures for TDS testing
- Since CDR improvements have been made to account for more scenarios such as lighting changes



TDS Housing

- Camera housing redesigned
 - Incorporate seals
 - Increase rigidity



Payload Structural System (PSS)

- Houses all flight electronics
- Reacts all flight loads
- Doubles as coupler for the vehicle



Coupler Body

- 6" Carbon Fiber Coupler
- All thread Mounting System for 3D printed electronic sleds
- All thread rods preload's Coupler for structural stability during flight



Upper Bulkplate Assembly

Contains RRS tube, ARRD cutaway mechanism, Limit Switches, and Propulsion Arms.



RRS Tube Redesign

- RRS Cap
- Improper seal; failed RRS demonstration
- Part Fracture upon landing



Redesigned RRS Cap





RRS Tube Joint Redesign (cont.)



Broken Epoxy Joint due to landing

Redesigned RRS Shear Collar





Landing Leg System (LLS)

- Similar Design to MRS Propulsion Arms
- Successful
 Deployment
 Verification
- Successful flight deployment



Deployment System

- Deployment Bay
- Main opening force primary separation
- Redundant black powder charges still present


Deployment Bay Manufacturing and Testing







Deployment System

Main Recovery Bay

 Added leg sheath close-offs to prevent recovery interference.





Main Recovery Bay Deployment Verification

- No interference between LLS and recovery gear
- Successful leg actuation
- LLS flew on full scale flight 02/18/2017 and successfully deployed.







Payload Verification Status

- 66/90 team-derived requirements verified
- Remaining verifications include:
 - Full Scale Integration Launch Vehicle Tests



FRR Presentation Agenda

- Launch Vehicle
- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

Launch Operations

6 Launch Concerns and Operations Procedures

6.1 Launch Operations Checklist

- **Overall Final Assembly Checklist (requirement 1.7)** 6.1.6 Required Equipment:
 - Allen Wrench Set SAE
 - Phillips Head Screwdriver (large)

 - Flat Head Screwdriver (Large)
 - Small Screwdriver Set (Small)

- Masking tape
- Socket Cap Screws
- 4-40 shear pins
- Socket Wrench Set for ¼-20 Nuts and 10-32 Nuts
- Attach propulsion bay to VDS coupler using 3x 8-32 metal bolts.
- Attach upper VDS coupler to the booster recovery bay using x3 8-32 shear pins.
- Connect the ejection charge canisters to the VDS coupler bulkplate by attaching the e-matches to the respective terminal blocks.
- Attach booster recovery bay to the payload coupler using x3 4-40 nylon shear pins.
- 5. Attach the payload coupler to deployment bay using x3 4-40 nylon shear pins.
- Connect the ejection charge canisters to the deployment coupler bulkplate by attaching the e-matches to 6. the respective terminal blocks.
- 7. Attach the deployment bay to the payload recovery bay using x3 8-32 nylon shear pins.
- Attach the payload recovery bay to the nose cone using x3 4-40 nylon shear pins. 8.
- Check that the coupling does not allow for any flexing of the rocket between any airframe and coupler tubes. Should this occur, add layers of painters tape to the coupler tubing on the payload bay until sufficient coupling is achieved.
- Tape motor igniter to the outside of the lower sustainer in a place easily seen by the field RSO. 10.
- Ensure all screw switches to altimeters on the interior of the launch vehicle are visible and accessible 11. from the exterior of the launch vehicle.
- A final visual inspection will need to be done to ensure all systems are go. 12.

Final Assembly Representatives Signatures:

Safety Officer Signature:

Clear to Leave for Launch Pad

6.1.7 All sections of the safety checklist preceding must be complete and signed prior to leaving for the launch pad. A signature from every lead, co-captains, and safety officer must sign off to proceed to the pad.

Vehicle Lead: Recovery Lead: VDS Lead: Payload Lead: Signatures indicating the rocket is a "Go" for launch: Team Co-Captain: Team Co-Captain:







Risk Assessment Matrix

| Risk Assessment Matrix | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Probability Value | Severity Value | | | | | | |
| | (XXX)-(1) | (XXX)-(2) | (XXX)-(3) | (XXX)-(4) | | | |
| Almost Certain- (1) | 2-High | 3-High | 4-High | 5-Moderate | | | |
| Likely-(2) | 3-High | 4-High | 5-Moderate | 6-Moderate | | | |
| Moderate-(3) | 4-High | 5-Moderate | 6-Moderate | 7-Low | | | |
| Unlikely-(4) | 5-Moderate | 6-Moderate | 7-Low | 8-Low | | | |
| Improbable-(5) | 6-Moderate | 7-Low | 8-Low | 9-Low | | | |

Human Safety Hazard Analysis



FRR Presentation Agenda

- Launch Vehicle
- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

Educational Outreach

| Educational Outreach Student Count | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|
| | NASA Requirement | Our Requirement | | | |
| Requirement to reach | 200 | 200 | 0 | | |
| Students yet to be reached | Complete | Complete | | | |
| Students reached at CDR | 1226 | Current Total | 3,176 | | |





FRR Presentation Agenda

- Launch Vehicle
- Variable Drag System
- Recovery
- Full-Scale Flight Results
- Payload
- Safety
- Educational Outreach
- Budget

2016 – 2017 Overall Budget

| Overall Tentative Budget | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Budget | Increase from CDR | Total Cost | | | |
| Variable Drag System | \$352.30 | \$1,431.75 | | | |
| Full-Scale Vehicle | \$5,163.66 | \$10,232.49 | | | |
| Sub-Sscale Vehicle | 0 | \$1,000.34 | | | |
| Recovery | \$1,266.09 | \$2,951.84 | | | |
| Payload | \$1,957.59 | \$4,815.73 | | | |
| Educational Engagement | 0 | \$1,877.03 | | | |
| Equipment and Misc. | 0 | \$1,344.88 | | | |
| Travel | 0 | \$4,632.30 | | | |
| Promotional Materials | 0 | \$2,187.50 | | | |
| Overall Cost | t . | \$30,473.86 | | | |



Sustainable Budget

| Sustainable Budget | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Inflow | | | | | | | | | |
| Donor | Description of Donation | Date Submitted | Date Received | Amount Requested | Accepted | | | | |
| 2015-2016 RCR Remaining Balance | Remaining balance of the teams expenditures from the 2015-2016 NASA Student Launch Competition | N/A | N/A | \$23,799.00 | Y | | | | |
| J.B. Speed School | The University of Louisville J.B. Speed School donates based off presentation of materials and amount requested/needed by the organization, including money from the JB Speed school student council. | Thursday, September 22, 2016 | Friday, October 28, 2016 | \$5,300.00 | Y | | | | |
| Raytheon Missle Systems | Assistance in outreach event MathMovesU. | Thursday, October 13, 2016 | Thursday, October 27, 2016 | \$1,000.00 | Y | | | | |
| U of L, Department of Mechanical Engineering | The Department of Mechanical Engineering donated to the team for continued success in the NASA SL competition and persevering of River City Rocketry | Saturday, November 12, 2016 | Monday, December 5, 2016 | \$2,000.00 | Y | | | | |
| Anonymous Donations | Various anonymous donations made through the river city rocketry website | Wednesday, May 3, 2017 | Wednesday, May 3, 2017 | \$125.00 | Y | | | | |
| Dr. Kelly Donation | An alumni of the University of Louisville who has worked in the aerospace industry and expressed continuous interest in the team. | Thursday, December 8, 2016 | TBD | \$10,053.27 | Y | | | | |
| Overall Income | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Outlfow | | | | | | | | | |
| Expected Team Expenses | | | | \$30,473.86 | <u> </u> | | | | |
| End of the Season Expected Total | | | | \$11,803.41 | | | | | |







